

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/438,206	11/12/1999	RIYI SHI	7024-427-PUR	9018	
7	590 04/09/2002				
COLEMAN SUDOL SAPONE, P.C.			EXAMINER		
714 Colorado Avenue Bridgeport,, CT 06605-1601			HUI, SAN	HUI, SAN MING R	
	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1617		
			DATE MAILED: 04/09/2002	í7	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/438,206	SHI ET AL.				
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Examiner	Art Unit				
	San-ming Hui	1617				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 05 March 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note be	elow);	·				
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
<ul><li>(d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.</li><li>NOTE: .</li></ul>						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See attachment.						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7. ☑ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☑ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: None.						
Claim(s) objected to: <i>None</i> .						
Claim(s) rejected: 22-43.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>None</u> .						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:						
S. Patent and Trademark Office						

PTO-303 (Rev. 04-01)

 $\hat{v}_{\mathbf{A}}$ 

Application/Control Number: 09/438,206

Art Unit: 1617

## Advisory Action

Continuation of 2):

The proposed amendment filed March 5, 2002 raises new issues for consideration and search by the examiner. For example, the proposed limitation "an amount effective to increase a compound action potential in said injured spinal cord...immediately after the said injury" in claims 22 and 38 in the method of treating spinal cord injury on page 1 of the amendment received March 5, 2002 raises a new issue for consideration and search by the examiner.

## Continuation of 5):

Applicant's remarks filed March 5, 2002 regarding Davis not teaching the application of PEG to an injured spinal cord and that Davis teaches the nerve root is located outside of the spinal cord and is part of the peripheral nervous system have been considered but are not found persuasive because Davis clearly teaches the injured spinal lumba section (L4-L5) was treated with methylprednisolone suspension (See page 300, Method Section). Moreover, Davis teaches that the methylprednisolone suspension contains polyethylene glycol 28mg (see page 300, Method Section). Davis also teaches the methylprednisolone suspension is applied to the dural sac and nerve root (See page 300, col. 2, Operative Technique).

The claims are rejected because of the reasons of record in the previous office action (Final rejection) mailed November 23, 2001. Since the proposed amendments

Application/Control Number: 09/438,206

Art Unit: 1617

filed March 5, 2002 will not be entered, the rejections set forth in the previous office

action mailed November 23, 2001 remain.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to San-ming Hui whose telephone number is (703) 305-

1002. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon 9:00 to 1:00, Tu - Fri from 9:00 to

6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Minna Moezie, J.D., can be reached on (703) 308-4612. The fax phone

numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)

308-4556 for regular communications and (703) 308-4556 for After Final

communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-

1235.

San-ming Hui March 31, 2002

MINNA MOEZIE, J.D. SUPERVISORY PATEINT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

Page 3